
CENTER FOR COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS REPORTS

University of Colorado at Denver
P.O. Box 173364, Campus Box 170
Denver, CO 80217-3364

Fax: (303) 556-8550
Phone: (303) 556-8442
<http://www-math.cudenver.edu/>

June 1996 UCD/CCM Report No. 82

**Unusual Stabilized Finite Element
Methods and Residual-Free-Bubbles**

L.P. Franca, C. Farhat,
M. Lesoinne and A. Russo

UNUSUAL STABILIZED FINITE ELEMENT METHODS AND RESIDUAL-FREE-BUBBLES

by

Leopoldo P. Franca

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado at Denver

P.O.Box 173364, Campus Box 170, Denver, CO 80217-3364

FAX: (303)-556-8550; Ph.: (303) 556-8460; e-mail: lfranca at math.cudenver.edu

Charbel Farhat, Michel Lesoinne

Department of Aerospace Engineering Sciences and Center for Aerospace Structures

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309-0429

and

Alessandro Russo

Istituto di Analisi Numerica del CNR

Via Abbiategrosso, 209, 27100 Pavia, Italy

Abstract

An overview of the *unusual* stabilized finite element method and of the standard Galerkin method enriched with *residual-free-bubble* functions is presented. For the first method a concrete model problem illustrates its application in advective-diffusive-reactive equations and for the second method it is shown how static condensation of residual-free-bubbles gives rise to mass lumping and selective reduced-integration, which are viewed as numerical tricks and can now be *derived* by the standard Galerkin method without tricks.

To appear in:

International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids

Preprint

June 3, 1996, 11:00 am

1. Introduction

Stabilized methods and other nonstandard techniques of discretization were developed to deal with intricate physical problems governed by singularly perturbed equations, and/or difficulties in approximating system of equations, etc. The idea then was to develop methods that would not completely change the structure of a simple finite element code based on piecewise polynomials of equal order approximation for all variables. Surprisingly the idea is effective and can be applied to a variety of applications (see [5-6, 8-11, 16-19] and references therein).

Wishing to further understand stabilized finite element methods and other non-standard Galerkin finite element methods, we have revisited the Galerkin method using richer subspaces other than piecewise polynomials. The idea is to enlarge the space of piecewise polynomials with functions defined elementwise, such that improved accuracy and stability are achieved, which are also goals shared by stabilized methods. Noting first that streamline diffusion can be obtained by this process [2], a theory was developed to show that *virtual* bubbles can be constructed to reproduce stabilized methods in a variety of applications and based on piecewise polynomials of all orders [1]. The questions then were: 1) Is there a family of stabilized methods associated with the Galerkin method enriched with bubbles? Which one is it? 2) Is there a systematic procedure to construct these virtual bubbles so that improved discretizations are developed regardless of how those may look at the end? (In other words, dropping the requirement to obtain a stabilized method, let us pursue ultimately accurate and stable methods).

This paper deals with these two questions that lead to parallel approaches (not necessarily the same in general). First we show that if we enrich piecewise linears by a space of bubble functions spanned by a single basis function on each element, then we are

led to *unusual stabilized finite element methods* (US-FEM for short). This is discussed in Section 2. The other approach is to select a space of bubble functions that are spanned by the exact solution minus its piecewise linear contribution on an element. This is the space of residual-free-bubbles that were suggested in [4, 21-22] and crystallized in [12-14]. An approach similar to the latter one was developed independently in [15] motivated by physical arguments and the equivalence to the residual-free-bubbles idea is presented in [3]. We present the residual-free-bubbles idea and some of its applications in Section 3.

2. US-FEM: The Unusual Stabilized Finite Element Method

Let L denote a linear differential operator and consider the problem of finding a scalar function u in a smooth domain Ω with boundary Γ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= g & \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

for given smooth functions f and g . The standard Galerkin method for this problem consists in finding u_h such that

$$a(u_h, v_h) = (Lu_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \quad (1)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the $L_2(\Omega)$ scalar product. Here u_h and v_h are members of the space spanned by piecewise linear polynomials plus bubble functions, i.e.,

$$u_h = u_1 + u_b \quad (2)$$

where the bubble functions are spanned by one basis function φ_K on an element K :

$$u_b = c_K \varphi_K$$

and satisfy

$$u_b = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K.$$

We first consider what the method (1) implies for the reduced space of polynomials. In other words, we wish to understand what the effect of u_b on the u_1 part of the solution is.

This question is addressed using what is termed in the finite element literature as *static condensation* which consists in first taking $v_h = \varphi_K$ on K in equation (1) (zero elsewhere):

$$a(u_1 + u_b, \varphi_K)_K = (f, \varphi_K)_K$$

$$a(u_1, \varphi_K)_K + a(u_b, \varphi_K)_K = (f, \varphi_K)_K$$

$$(Lu_1, \varphi_K)_K + a(c_K \varphi_K, \varphi_K)_K = (f, \varphi_K)_K$$

$$c_K a(\varphi_K, \varphi_K)_K = -(Lu_1 - f, \varphi_K)_K$$

Thus the unknown coefficient of the bubble can be computed from:

$$c_K = -\frac{(Lu_1 - f, \varphi_K)_K}{a(\varphi_K, \varphi_K)_K}. \quad (3)$$

The second part of static condensation is: use $v_h = v_1$ in (1):

$$a(u_1 + u_b, v_1) = (f, v_1)$$

$$a(u_1, v_1) + a(u_b, v_1) = (f, v_1)$$

$$a(u_1, v_1) + \sum_K (u_b, L_K^* v_1)_K = (f, v_1)$$

where L_K^* is the adjoint operator associated with L with boundary condition

$$u_b = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K.$$

Substituting $u_b = c_K \varphi_K$ with c_K given by (3):

$$a(u_1, v_1) - \sum_K \frac{(Lu_1 - f, \varphi_K)_K}{a(\varphi_K, \varphi_K)_K} (\varphi_K, L_K^* v_1)_K = (f, v_1). \quad (4)$$

This is the method suggested by static condensation of one bubble.

At this point we may ask what kind of method this single-bubble method is related to. If we bypass the definition of the bubble shape functions φ_K , we wish to consider a simplification of (4) in the form:

$$a(u_1, v_1) - \sum_K (Lu_1, \tau L_K^* v_1)_K = (f, v_1) - \sum_K (f, \tau L_K^* v_1)_K$$

where τ is a stability constant.

These are Unusual Stabilized Finite Element Methods US-FEM (suggested in [1] and developed in [7,20]) that are consistent methods and more stable than Galerkin methods. As a matter of fact, if L is the first order operator of convection, then clearly this method adds stability to the Galerkin method in the same way as the Galerkin-Least-Squares method does. However for zeroth and second order operators, bubbles seem to prompt *subtraction* of a square term from the Galerkin term. In this case we need to check if stability is improved in a case by case basis. We have checked that this form of a stabilized method is effective for advective-diffusive-reactive equations and shown that these methods lead to convergence for usual elements. Let's start with this model. We consider the problem: Find a scalar valued function $u(\mathbf{x})$ defined in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\sigma u + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u - \kappa \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (5)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{a} is a given solenoidal velocity field (i.e. $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$); σ and κ are given positive constants and $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a given source function.

Here we have

$$Lu = \sigma u + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u - \kappa \Delta u$$

and, by substituting this particular L operator in eq. (1) we have the standard Galerkin method: find u_h such that

$$(\sigma u_h, v_h) + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u_h, v_h) + (\kappa \nabla u_h, \nabla v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h.$$

We decompose the solution as before:

$$u_h = u_1 + u_b$$

and using static condensation we get (see eq. (3)):

$$c_K = -\frac{(\sigma u_1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u_1 - f, \varphi_K)_K}{\sigma \|\varphi\|_{0,K}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \varphi\|_{0,K}^2}.$$

In the second part of static condensation we are led to the method given by:

$$a(u_1, v_1) + \sum_K c_K (\varphi_K, L_K^* v_1)_K = (f, v_1),$$

and therefore by substituting the expression for c_K we have:

$$a(u_1, v_1) - \sum_K \frac{(\sigma u_1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u_1 - f, \varphi_K)_K}{\sigma \|\varphi\|_{0,K}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \varphi\|_{0,K}^2} (\varphi_K, \sigma v_1 - \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla v_1)_K = (f, v_1). \quad (7)$$

We wish to consider the following US-FEM: Find u_1 such that

$$a(u_1, v_1) - \sum_K (Lu_1, \tau L^* v_1)_K = (f, v_1) - \sum_K (f, \tau L^* v_1)_K$$

or substituting: Find u_1 such that

$$B(u_1, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, v) &= (\sigma u, v) + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u, v) + (\kappa \nabla u, \nabla v) \\ &\quad - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} (\sigma u + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u - \kappa \Delta u, \tau_K (\sigma v - \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla v - \kappa \Delta v))_K \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$F(v) = (f, v) - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} (f, \tau_K (\sigma v - \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla v - \kappa \Delta v))_K. \quad (10)$$

The stability constant τ is given by the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_K &= \begin{cases} \frac{h_K}{2|\mathbf{a}|_p} & , \text{Pe}_K \geq 1 \\ \frac{h_K^2}{\sigma h_K^2 + \beta_K} & , \text{Pe}_K < 1 \end{cases} \\ \text{Pe}_K &= \frac{2|\mathbf{a}|_p h_K}{\sigma h_K^2 + \kappa} \\ \beta_K &= \begin{cases} |\mathbf{a}|_p h_K & , |\mathbf{a}|_p h_K \geq \kappa \\ \kappa & , |\mathbf{a}|_p h_K < \kappa \end{cases} \\ |\mathbf{a}|_p &= \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |a_i(\mathbf{x})|^p \right)^{1/p} & , 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max_{i=1, N} |a_i(\mathbf{x})| & , p = \infty \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Remarks:

1. In the case in which $\sigma = 0$, we have an advective-diffusive equation and the method above reduces to SUPG introduced by Hughes and Brooks [5].
2. The case in which $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$, the method above reduces to the method studied by Franca and Farhat [7], where a convergence analysis is presented (for piecewise linears).

3. This method deals with the more general possibility of having the differential operators of order 2, 1 and zero present in the same equation. This is of interest in applying these methods to the transport equations of turbulent quantities (such as the ones found in the $k - \epsilon$ model), or to applications involving chemical reactions, etc.
4. We would like to reiterate that a single bubble in each element prompts *unusual* stabilized methods in that instead of adding a least-squares form of the Euler-Lagrange equations to the Galerkin method, we subtract a term of the type

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} \tau(Lu - f, L_K^* v)_K$$

where L is the differential operator associated with the scalar PDE and L_K^* its adjoint with zero Dirichlet boundary condition in each element. These unusual methods keep the desired additional stability characteristics of Galerkin-least-squares methods and do have a nontrivial counterpart within the framework of the Galerkin method using ‘virtual’ bubbles.

2. Residual Free Bubbles

This seems to be a very promising approach in that a systematic derivation of methods is now possible. To define residual free bubbles let us consider the standard Galerkin method for

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

Then we wish to find u_h such that

$$a(u_h, v_h) = (Lu_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \quad (11)$$

Here u_h and v_h are piecewise polynomials plus bubble functions, i.e.,

$$u_h = u_1 + u_b \quad (12)$$

where the bubble functions satisfy the differential equations strongly, i.e.,

$$Lu_b = -(Lu_1 - f) \quad \text{in } K \quad (14)$$

subject to zero Dirichlet boundary condition on the element boundary, i.e.,

$$u_b = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K. \quad (15)$$

Problem given by equations (14)-(15) are addressed by solving instead:

$$L\varphi_{i,K} = -L\psi_{i,K} \quad \text{in } K \quad (16)$$

$$\varphi_{i,K} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K \quad (17)$$

where the $\psi_{i,K}$'s are the local basis functions for u_1 and

$$L\varphi_{f,K} = f \quad \text{in } K \quad (18)$$

$$\varphi_{i,K} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K. \quad (19)$$

Thus, if $u_1|_K = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{en}} c_{i,K} \psi_{i,K}$ then

$$u_b|_K = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{en}} c_{i,K} \varphi_{i,K} + \varphi_{f,K} \quad (20)$$

with the same coefficients $c_{i,K}$'s.

As before we ask the question: what does the method (1) imply for the reduced space of polynomials? (or, what is the effect of u_b on the u_1 part of the solution?)

The answer is to use *static condensation* (as before): first $v = v_{b,K}$ on K (zero elsewhere):

$$a(u_1 + u_b, v_{b,K})_K = (f, v_{b,K})_K. \quad (21)$$

But this equation is satisfied automatically due to our choice of bubbles. Indeed this equation is the variational equation for

$$Lu_b = -(Lu_1 - f) \quad \text{in } K \quad (14)$$

using $v = v_{b,K}$ on K (zero elsewhere) as test functions.

Second part of static condensation: use $v = v_1$ in (11):

$$a(u_1 + u_b, v_1) = (f, v_1)$$

$$a(u_1, v_1) + a(u_b, v_1) = (f, v_1)$$

This is the method suggested by static condensation. Using residual free bubbles the second term modification due to the bubbles is computed after solving equations (6)-(9).

Let us consider two examples of this approach to show that mass lumping and selective reduced integration are tricks that can be 'explained' by residual-free-bubbles.

The presentation now follows [13]. The first example is: Find a scalar valued function $u(x)$ defined in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sigma u - \kappa u'' = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (22)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega \quad (23)$$

where σ and κ are given positive constants and $f(x)$ is a given source function.

Here:

$$Lu = \sigma u - \kappa u''$$

and the residual free bubble problems given by eqs. (16)-(19) are:

$$\sigma \varphi_{i,K} - \kappa \varphi_{i,K}'' = -\sigma \psi_{i,K} \quad \text{in } K \quad (24)$$

$$\varphi_{i,K} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K \quad (25)$$

where the $\psi_{i,K}$'s are the basis functions for u_1 and have second derivative zero inside each element and

$$\sigma \varphi_{f,K} - \kappa \varphi_{f,K}'' = f \quad \text{in } K \quad (26)$$

$$\varphi_{i,K} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K. \quad (27)$$

The solutions of (24)-(27) with respect to the local coordinate $\xi \in [0, h_K]$ are:

$$\varphi_{1,K}(\xi) = \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}(h_K - \xi)\right)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}h_K\right)} - \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{h_K}\right)$$

$$\varphi_{2,K}(\xi) = \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}\xi\right)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}h_K\right)} - \frac{\xi}{h_K}$$

$$\varphi_{f,K}(\xi) = -\frac{f}{\sigma}[\varphi_{1,K}(\xi) + \varphi_{2,K}(\xi)]$$

for piecewise-constant loads.

The Galerkin method given by the second step of static condensation is

$$a(u_1, v_1) + a(u_b, v_1) = (f, v_1) \quad (28)$$

and in this case

$$(\sigma u_1, v_1) + (\kappa u'_1, v'_1) + \sum_K (\sigma u_{b,K}, v_1)_K = (f, v_1) \quad (29)$$

If we substitute the expression for $u_{b|K} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{en}} c_{i,K} \varphi_{i,K} + \varphi_{f,K}$ with the exact results above then we are led to a system of equations for the unknowns constants $c_{i,K}$'s.

If we write the system of equations for a uniform mesh, then a typical interior node satisfies the following: (after some algebra)

$$A_h \left[\frac{-c_{I-1} + 2c_I - c_{I+1}}{h} \right] + B_h c_I = C_h f$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_h &= \kappa \frac{(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}} h_K)}{\sinh(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}} h_K)} \\ B_h &= 2\sqrt{\sigma\kappa} \tanh\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}} h_K\right) \\ C_h &= \frac{1}{\sigma} B_h. \end{aligned}$$

This is the method implied by the residual-free bubbles approach. The method will give *nodal exact values* for all κ, σ, f and h . For small $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}} h_K$ we have

$$A_h \approx \kappa, \quad B_h \approx \sigma h, \quad C_h \approx h$$

simplifying the method to:

$$\kappa \left[\frac{-c_{I-1} + 2c_I - c_{I+1}}{h} \right] + \sigma h c_I = h f \quad (30)$$

which is form-identical to the equations produced by the standard Galerkin method using piecewise linears with full integration on the second derivative term and ‘mass lumping’ in the zero order term.

The presentation now follows [14]. The second example is developed to show the appearance of selective reduced integration from residual-free-bubbles. The Timoshenko beam model is governed by the following differential equations (after non-dimensionalization):

$$\begin{aligned} -\theta'' - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w' - \theta) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w'' - \theta') &= f & \text{in } \Omega \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to $x \in \Omega = (0, 1)$, θ and w are the rotation and displacement variables, f is the load and ϵ is a non-dimensional parameter proportional to the beam thickness.

To (31) we append the following clamped boundary conditions (other boundary conditions may be used without major changes in what follows):

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) = w(1) &= 0 \\ \theta(0) = \theta(1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The variational formulation corresponding to (31)-(32) is given by: Find $\{\theta, w\} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2$ such that

$$(\theta', \psi') + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w' - \theta, v' - \psi) = (f, v) \quad \forall \{\psi, v\} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2 \quad (33)$$

where we use the notation $(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} fg \, d\Omega$.

Consider a partition of Ω into non-overlapping elements in the usual way. Then the exact solution of our problem can be decomposed into:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \theta_1 + \theta_b \\ w &= w_1 + w_b\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

where θ_1 and w_1 are spanned by the standard continuous piecewise linears of finite element methods, and θ_b and w_b are assumed to satisfy the following differential equations in each element K :

$$\begin{aligned}-\theta_b'' - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w_b' - \theta_b) &= -\left(-\theta_1'' - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w_1' - \theta_1)\right) \\ -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w_b'' - \theta_b') &= -\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w_1'' - \theta_1') - f\right)\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

and subjected to the boundary conditions:

$$\theta_b = w_b = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K.\tag{36}$$

Equations (35) can be rewritten as (note that $\theta_1'' = w_1'' = 0$ in K):

$$\begin{aligned}-\epsilon^2\theta_b'' + \theta_b - w_b' &= w_1' - \theta_1 \\ \theta_b' - w_b'' &= -\theta_1' + \epsilon^2 f.\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

From (37)₁

$$\theta_b - w_b' = w_1' - \theta_1 + \epsilon^2\theta_b''$$

and combining with (37)₂ we get

$$\theta_b''' = f \quad \text{in } K.\tag{38}$$

Integrating three times (with respect to the local variable in the element, $\xi \in [0, h_K]$, $h_K = x_{i+1} - x_i$, $\xi = x - x_i$) and assuming piecewise constant load f , and

for notation's sake dropping the subscripts for h and f (nowhere we need to assume that h_K is constant in what follows) we get:

$$\theta_b(\xi) = \frac{\xi^3}{6}f + c_1 \frac{\xi^2}{2} + c_2\xi + c_3. \quad (39)$$

Applying the boundary conditions $\theta_b(0) = \theta_b(h) = 0$ above gives:

$$\theta_b(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{6}f(\xi^2 - h^2) + c_1 \frac{\xi}{2}(\xi - h). \quad (40)$$

Using this expression into the first equation of (37) after one integration we get:

$$\begin{aligned} w_b(\xi) &= \int_0^\xi \theta_1(t) dt - w_1(\xi) - \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{f}{6}(3\xi^2 - h^2) + \frac{c_1}{2}(2\xi - h) \right] \\ &+ \frac{f}{6} \left[\frac{\xi^4}{4} - \frac{\xi^2}{2}h^2 \right] - \frac{c_1}{12}\xi^2(3h - 2\xi) + c_4. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Applying the boundary conditions $w_b(0) = w_b(h) = 0$ in (41) we get expressions for the remaining constants c_1 and c_4 and the expressions for the residual-free bubble functions are then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_b(\xi) &= f \left\{ \frac{\xi}{6}(\xi^2 - h^2) + \frac{h\xi}{4}(h - \xi) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{h^2}{12}} \frac{\xi(\xi - h)}{2} \left\{ \theta_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{w_1(h) - w_1(0)}{h} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_b(\xi) &= \xi \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2h} \right) \theta_1(0) + \frac{\xi^2}{2h}\theta_1(h) + \frac{\xi}{h}[w_1(0) - w_1(h)] \\ &- \xi \left[\epsilon^2 - \frac{\xi^2}{6} + \frac{h\xi}{4} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{h^2}{12}} \left[\theta_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{w_1(h) - w_1(0)}{h} \right] - \frac{hf}{2} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{f\xi^2}{2} \left[-\epsilon^2 + \frac{\xi^2}{12} - \frac{h^2}{6} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

If we take the test functions $\psi = \psi_1$ and $v = v_1$, where ψ_1 and v_1 are spanned by continuous piecewise linears, then using decomposition (34) the variational formulation (33) can be rewritten as

$$(\theta'_1, \psi'_1) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w'_1 - \theta_1, v'_1 - \psi_1) - (f, v_1) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(w'_b - \theta_b, v'_1 - \psi_1) = 0 \quad (44)$$

where, by integration-by-parts, we used that:

$$(\theta'_b, \psi'_1) = \sum_K (\theta'_b, \psi'_1)_K = \sum_K [(\theta_b, \psi'_1)_{\partial K} - (\theta_b, \psi''_1)_K] = 0.$$

Note that (44) consists of the Galerkin method for equal-order piecewise linear approximations for θ and w (without tricks, using full integration) plus a “perturbation term” that we need to compute based on the bubble functions given by (42) and (43). First by (42) and (43) we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} w'_b - \theta_b &= \theta_1(0) + \frac{\xi}{h} [\theta_1(h) - \theta_1(0)] - \frac{w_1(h) - w_1(0)}{h} \\ &\quad - \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{h^2}{12}} \left[\theta_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{w_1(h) - w_1(0)}{h} \right] + \epsilon^2 f \left(\frac{h}{2} - \xi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Note also that

$$w'_1 - \theta_1 = \frac{w_1(h) - w_1(0)}{h} - \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{h}\right) \theta_1(0) - \frac{\xi}{h} \theta_1(h). \quad (46)$$

Thus summing (45) to (46)

$$w'_1 - \theta_1 + w'_b - \theta_b = \epsilon^2 f \left(\frac{h}{2} - \xi \right) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{h^2}{12}} \left[\theta_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{w_1(h) - w_1(0)}{h} \right]. \quad (47)$$

Therefore, using (47), the variational formulation given by (44) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta'_1, \psi'_1) + \sum_K \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{h_K^2}{12}} \left(\frac{w_1(h_K) - w_1(0)}{h_K} - \theta_1\left(\frac{h_K}{2}\right), v'_1 - \psi_1 \right)_K \\ = (f, v_1) + \sum_K f_K \left(\xi - \frac{h_K}{2}, v'_1 - \psi_1 \right)_K \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where we reintroduced the subscripts for h and the piecewise constant load f . This can also be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta'_1, \psi'_1) + \sum_K \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{h_K^2}{12}} (w'_1 - R\theta_1, v'_1 - \psi_1)_K = (f, v_1) \\ + \sum_K f_K \left(\xi - \frac{h_K}{2}, v'_1 - \psi_1 \right)_K \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where R stands for a reduced integration operator.

Formulation (49) was *derived* using full integration throughout and by construction its solution is nodally exact. The final form is identical to applying the following tricks to the standard variational formulation:

- i) Use one-point reduced integration on the shear energy term;
- ii) Replace its coefficient $1/\epsilon^2$ by $1/(\epsilon^2 + (h_K^2/12))$ in each element;
- iii) Correct the right-hand-side as in equation (49) for piecewise-constant loads.

To emerge with these collection of “tricks” requires ingenuity and for the first two tricks different arguments have been given before by several authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first author acknowledges the support by the Istituto di Analisi Numerica del CNR, Pavia, Italy.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Baiocchi, F. Brezzi and L.P. Franca, “Virtual bubbles and the Galerkin-least-squares method”, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.105 (1993) 125-141.
- [2] F. Brezzi, M.O. Bristeau, L.P. Franca, M. Mallet and G. Roge, “A relationship between stabilized finite element methods and the Galerkin method with bubble functions,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.96 (1992) 117-129.

-
- [3] F. Brezzi, L.P. Franca, T.J.R. Hughes and A. Russo, " $b = \int g$," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, to appear.
- [4] F. Brezzi and A. Russo, "Choosing bubbles for advection-diffusion problems," *Math. Models Meths. Appl. Sci.* Vol.4 (1994) 571-587.
- [5] A.N. Brooks and T.J.R. Hughes, "Streamline upwind/Petrov-Galerkin formulations for convective dominated flows with particular emphasis on the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.32 (1982) 199-259.
- [6] L.P. Franca and E.G.Dutra do Carmo, "The Galerkin gradient least-squares method," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.74 (1989) 41-54.
- [7] L.P. Franca and C. Farhat, "Bubble functions prompt unusual stabilized finite element methods," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.123, pp.299-308 (1995).
- [8] L.P. Franca and S.L. Frey, "Stabilized finite element methods: II. The incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations", *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, Vol.99 (1992) 209-233.
- [9] L.P. Franca, S.L. Frey and T.J.R. Hughes, "Stabilized finite element methods: I. Application to the advective-diffusive model", *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* Vol.95 (1992) 253-276.
- [10] L.P. Franca and T.J.R. Hughes, "Two classes of mixed finite element methods", *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* Vol.69 (1988) 89-129.
- [11] L.P. Franca, T.J.R. Hughes and R. Stenberg, "Stabilized finite element methods for

- the Stokes problem”, pp. 87-107 in *Incompressible Computational Fluid Dynamics-Trends and Advances*, M.D. Gunzburger and R.A. Nicolaides eds., Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [12] L.P. Franca and A. Russo, “Deriving upwinding, mass lumping and selective reduced integration by residual-free bubbles,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, to appear.
- [13] L.P. Franca and A. Russo, “Mass lumping emanating from residual-free bubbles,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, submitted.
- [14] L.P. Franca and A. Russo, “Unlocking with residual-free bubbles,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, submitted.
- [15] T.J.R. Hughes, “Multiscale phenomena: Green’s functions, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann formulation, subgrid scale models, bubbles and the origin of stabilized methods,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol.127 (1995) 387-401.
- [16] T.J.R. Hughes and L.P. Franca, “A new finite element formulation for computational fluid dynamics: VII. The Stokes problem with various well-posed boundary conditions: symmetric formulations that converge for all velocity/pressure spaces”, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* Vol.65 (1987) 85-96.
- [17] T.J.R. Hughes, L.P. Franca and M. Balestra, “A new finite element formulation for computational fluid dynamics: V. Circumventing the Babuška-Brezzi condition: A stable Petrov-Galerkin formulation of the Stokes problem accommodating equal-order interpolations”, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* Vol.59 (1986) 85-99.
- [18] T.J.R. Hughes, L.P. Franca and G.M. Hulbert, “A new finite element formulation for computational fluid dynamics: VIII. The Galerkin-least-squares method

- for advective-diffusive equations”, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, Vol. **73** (1989) 173-189.
- [19] C. Johnson, U. Nävert and J. Pitkäranta, “Finite element methods for linear hyperbolic problem,” *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, Vol. **45** (1984) 285-312.
- [20] M. Lesoinne, C. Farhat and L.P. Franca, “Unusual stabilized finite element methods for second order linear differential equations,” In Part I, pp. 377-386 of the *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Finite Elements in Fluids - New Trends and Applications*, (M. Morandi Cecchi, K. Morgan, J. Periaux, B.A. Schrefler, O.C. Zienkiewicz, eds.), Venice, Italy, October 1995.
- [21] A. Russo, “Bubble Stabilization of Finite Element Methods for the Linearized Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations,” *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, to appear.
- [22] A. Russo, “Residual free bubbles and stabilized methods,” In Part II, pp. 1607-1615 of the *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Finite Elements in Fluids - New Trends and Applications*, (M. Morandi Cecchi, K. Morgan, J. Periaux, B.A. Schrefler, O.C. Zienkiewicz, eds.), Venice, Italy, October 1995.

CENTER FOR COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS REPORTS

University of Colorado at Denver
P.O. Box 173364, Campus Box 170
Denver, CO 80217-3364

Fax: (303) 556-8550
Phone: (303) 556-8442
<http://www-math.cudenver.edu/>

66. J.H. Bramble, A.V. Knyazev and Joseph E. Pasciak, "A Subspace Preconditioning Algorithm For Eigenvector/Eigenvalue Computation."
67. S.C. Billups, S.P. Dirkse and M.C. Ferris, "A Comparison of Algorithms for Large Scale Mixed Complementarity Problems."
68. P. Vaněk, R. Tezaur, M. Brezina and J. Křížková, "Two-level Method with Coarse Space Size Independent Convergence."
69. P. Vaněk and S. Ghosal, "A New Technique for Construction of Image Pyramids."
70. L.P. Franca and A. Russo, "Mass Lumping Emanating from Residual-Free Bubbles."
71. L.P. Franca and A. Russo, "Unlocking with Residual-Free Bubbles."
72. C. Liu, Z. Liu and G. Xiong, "Direct Numerical Simulation for the Receptivity and the Whole Process of Transition Around 2-D Airfoils."
73. R. Tezaur, P. Vaněk and M. Brezina, "Two-Level Method for Solids on Unstructured Meshes."
74. T.F. Russell, D.W. Dean, T.H. Illangasekare, R. Mapa and J. Garcia, "Upscaling of Dispersivity in Modeling of Solute Transport: Mathematical Theory and Laboratory Experiments."
75. T.F. Russell, R.W. Healy, R.G. Striegl, G.L. Hutchinson and G.P. Livingston, "Analytical Solution for the Problem of 1-Dimensional Diffusion into a Static Chamber."
76. T.F. Russell and R.V. Trujillo, "The Finite Volume Element Method For Elliptic and Parabolic Equations."
77. R.W. Healy and T.F. Russell, "Solution of the Advection-Dispersion Equation in Two Dimensions by a Finite-Volume Eulerian-Lagrangian Localized Adjoint Method."
78. Z. Cai, R.R. Parashkevov, T.F. Russell and X. Ye, "Domain Decomposition for a Mixed Finite Element Method in Three Dimensions."
79. S.E. Payne, T. Pentilla and G.F. Royle, "Building a Cyclic q -Clan."
80. K.D. Jamison and W.A. Lodwick, "Minimizing Unconstrained Fuzzy Functions."
81. F. Brezzi, L.P. Franca, T.J.R. Hughes and A. Russo, " $b = \int g$."